1	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065	
2	rvannest@kvn.com CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325	
3	canderson@kvn.com DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424	
4	dpurcell@kvn.com 633 Battery Street	
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: (415) 391-5400	
6	Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
7	KING & SPALDING LLP BRUCE W. BABER (pro hac vice)	
8	bbaber@kslaw.com 1185 Avenue of the Americas	
9	New York, NY 10036 Tel: (212) 556-2100	
10	Fax: (212) 556-2222	
11	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.	
12	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA
16	Plaintiffs,	GOOGLE INC.'S COMMENT ON
17 18	v.	TENTATIVE ORDER CLARIFYING ASSIGNMENT OF RULE 706 EXPERT
19	GOOGLE INC.,	Judge: Hon. Donna M. Ryu
20	Defendant.	Date Filed: August 12, 2010
21		Trial Date: May 9, 2016
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
,		

Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 1393 Filed 12/02/15 Page 2 of 2

Google submits the following comment in response to Court's Tentative Order Clarifying 1 2 Assignment of Rule 706 Expert (ECF 1384). In paragraph 4 of the Tentative Order, id. at 3:4, the 3 Court directs that "Oracle and Google will each have up to seven hours to question Dr. Kearl." 4 The parties have already deposed Dr. Kearl once in this case, on March 26, 2012, regarding his 5 previous expert report on patent and copyright damages. That deposition was set for a single seven-hour session, with Oracle and Google agreeing to split the seven hours equally. The 6 7 deposition began at 9:37 a.m. and concluded at 2:46 p.m. Accounting for periodic breaks during 8 the examination and a somewhat longer break for lunch, the parties completed the deposition in 9 only about four hours of time on the record. Accordingly, in light of past experience, Google 10 suggests that the Court modify its Tentative Order to provide that Oracle and Google shall each 11 have three-and-a-half hours to question Dr. Kearl, which will permit the parties to complete the 12 deposition in a single day. 13 14 Dated: December 2, 2015 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP 15 By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest 16 ROBERT A. VAN NEST 17 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

> GOOGLE INC.'S COMMENT ON TENTATIVE ORDER CLARIFYING ASSIGNMENT OF RULE 706 EXPERT CASE NO. 3:10-CV-03561 WHA